(घ) जी, नहीं ।

(ड़) तथा (च) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठते ।

Legal remedy for suspended employee of a recognised School

2296. SHRi PYARELAL KHANDELWAL; Will the Minister of EDUCATION AND CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the procedure for holding an inquiry against the suspended employee in a recognised private school is the same corresponding to the suspended employee in a Government scftiool;

(b) if so, what is that procedure;

(c) whethe$_r$ the suspended employee of a recognised private school has also the same right to refer an appeal against the enquiry proceedings; and

(d) if so. ^{w}«o is the , appellate luthority?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE AND SOCIAL WELFARE (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) ond (to) No procedure to conduct enquiry i$_n$ case of suspended employee *oi* a recognised private school has been specified under Delhi School Education Rules, 1973.

(c) and (d) For an employee of recognised private school, other than i employee of a minority • school, whether aided or not, Delhi School Tribunal i$_s$ the appellate authority in case major penalty i$_s$ imposed. No appeal, however, lies against imposition of minor penalty.

Difficulties due to provisions of sub- (3) of Sec. 8 of Delhi School Education Act, 1973

See.

2297. SHRI PYARELAL KHANDELWAL: Will the Minister of EDUCATION AND CULTURE be pleased to state:.

3043 RS— 3

(a) whether the Central Government and the Delhi Administration separately or collectively have the powers to remove the difficulties which have arisen while giving effect to the provisions of sub-section (3) of Section 8 of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973 by order not inconsistent with the provisions of the Act before and after the expiry of a period of two years from the commencement of the Act;

(b) if so, which provision of the Act empowers them to do so; and

(c) how many orders to this effect have been made and by whom they have been issued before and after the expiry of a period of two years?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRIES OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE AND SOCIAL WELFARE (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Section 29 of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973.

(c) No order to this effect has been issued.

Lack of Funds for Urdu and Hindi Academies

2298. SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK: Will the Minister of EDUCATION AND CULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has ben drawn to the press report which appeared in the Patriot of the 26th June, 1984 to the effect that due to lack of funds with Urdu ad Hindi Academies in Delhi both the languages are not making sufficient progress;

(b) whether it is also a fact that due to such lack of funds Urdu and sjindi Languages in some other States of the country are also not making any progress;